Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/718,679	SHOREY ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Robert C. Scheibel	2666
All Participants:	Status of Application	:
(1) Robert C. Scheibel.	(3)	
(2) Mohammad S. Rahman.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 9 May 2005	Time: <u>2:00</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ If Yes, provide a brief description:	Applicant's representative) lo	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 22 and 29 Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE See Continuation Sheet	GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT	WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		\
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a se directly resulted in the allowance of the applicat of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a se did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief section of all issues. 	tion. The examiner will provide a parate record of the substance or	written summary of the substance f the interview, since the interview
	,	
•		
	 	
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (A	pplicant/Applicant's Representation	ve Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The wording of claims 22 and 29 was discussed. Mr. Rahman approved the changes included in the examiner's amendment. The specification and the abstract were also discussed. Mr. Rahman faxed the abstract included in the examiner's amendment. This new abstract removes the legal phraseology and better represents the amended claims..